Case 3:05-cv-02233-CRB Document 216 Filed 06/18/08 Page 1 of 3

1 2	COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP JOHN C. DWYER (136533) (dwyerjc@cooley.com) GRANT P. FONDO (181530) (gfondo@cooley.com) JAMES M. PENNING (229727) (jpenning@cooley.com) Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306	
3		
4		
5	Telephone: (650) 843-5000 Facsimile: (650) 857-0663	
6	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant	
7	BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	IN RE BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS	Case No. C 05-02233 CRB
13	SYSTEMS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	-[Proposed] Order Setting Schedule for Special Litigation Committee
14	This Donous and Bolates to	FOR SPECIAL LITIGATION COMMITTEE
15	This Document Relates to:	
16	ALL ACTIONS	
17		
18	WHEREAS, on June 12, 2008, the Court held a case-management conference in the	
19	above-captioned action to consider the status of the case and the report presented by counsel for	
20	the Special Litigation Committee (the "SLC") of the Board of Directors of nominal defendant	
21	Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. ("Brocade"), which has been granted plenary authority to	
22	handle this consolidated derivative action and other related derivative actions on behalf o	
23	Brocade; and	
24	WHEREAS the Court heard argument from counsel for the SLC, on behalf of Brocade,	
25	and from the derivative plaintiffs' counsel concerning how these actions should proceed over the	
26	next few months; and	
27	WHEREAS the SLC's counsel, on behalf of Brocade, informed the Court that (i) the SLC	
28	has adopted a Resolution resolving that Brocade should assert claims against 11 prospective	

775319 v1/PA

Case 3:05-cv-02233-CRB Document 216 Filed 06/18/08 Page 2 of 3

defendants for matters relating to Brocade's historical stock-option practices and its accounting
for those stock options; (ii) the SLC wished to give those prospective defendants the opportunity
to make a written submission by June 27, 2008 explaining why they believe the SLC, on behalf of
Brocade, should not take action against them; (iii) the SLC will finalize its Resolution by July 11,
2008, after receiving any submissions from the prospective defendants; (iv) the SLC intends to
file an amended Complaint in the above-captioned action and to move to dismiss or stay the other
related derivative actions against Brocade for failure to make a pre-suit demand or to show why a
demand would have been futile, and (v) the SLC intends to meet with all derivative plaintiffs'
counsel in the upcoming weeks to discuss plaintiffs' counsel's role (if any) in the further progress
of this action; and

WHEREAS the Court has been informed that, on June 13, 2008, the SLC's counsel sent notices to the prospective defendants informing them that the SLC has decided to assert claims against them on behalf of Brocade and that the prospective defendants could make any written submissions to the SLC by June 27, 2008;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The SLC, on behalf of Brocade, shall finalize its conclusions about what claims (if any) to pursue at the present time on behalf of Brocade after it receives and examines the submissions from the prospective defendants due on June 27, 2008.
- 2. On or before August 1, 2008, the SLC shall file any amended Complaint it wishes to present to the Court, as well as any motions to dismiss or stay the other related derivative actions against Brocade.
 - 3. All discovery in this action is stayed until further notice.

Case 3:05-cv-02233-CRB Document 216 Filed 06/18/08 Page 3 of 3

4. Plaintiffs may file any motions they wish to file, but no responses need be filed until after August 1, 2008. If plaintiffs do file any motions, plaintiffs should attempt to work out a schedule with counsel for Brocade and for all affected defendants, so that Brocade does not need to respond to the motions while it is preparing its amended Complaint and motions to dismiss or stay, as described above.

SO ORDERED this 18thday of June, 2008.

